

# EFFECT OF GROWTH REGULATORS AND CORM SIZE ON GROWTH AND CORM PARAMETERS IN GLADIOLUS CV. MALAVIYA KUNDAN

### SAIPRIYA PANIGRAHI\*, ANJANA SISODIA AND ANIL K. SINGH

Department of Horticulture, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi-221 005, U.P., INDIA. e-mail: panigrahisaipriya@gmail.com

#### **KEYWORDS**

GA<sub>3</sub> Thiourea Corm size Gladiolus.

**Received on :** 11.03.2020

Accepted on : 10.05.2020

\*Corresponding author

## INTRODUCTION

## ABSTRACT

A field experiment on gladiolus cv. Malaviya Kundan consisted of thiourea 0.5%, thiourea 1.5%, thiourea 2.0%,  $GA_3$  50 ppm,  $GA_3$  100 ppm,  $GA_3$  150 ppm, control and four levels of corm sizes (1.0, 1.5, 2.0, 2.5 cm) in a Randomized Block Design.  $GA_3$  at 150 ppm recorded significantly maximum number of leaves/plant (4.76), plant height (39.70 cm), length of longest leaf (37.35 cm) at 60 days after planting (DAP), weight of corms/hill (17.60 g) and diameter of corm (3.43 cm). Whereas, thiourea at 1.5% resulted maximum number of cormels/hill (42.98) and weight of cormels/hill (5.90 g). Among various grades of corm grade 2.5 cm recorded maximum number of leaves/plant (4.63) at 60 DAP, number of corms/hill (1.07), weight of corms/hill (18.74 g) and diameter of corm (3.50 cm). Whereas, corm size 2.0 cm resulted maximum plant height (39.83 cm), length of longest leaf (35.11 cm) at 60 DAP, number of cormels/hill (37.31) and weight of cormels/hill (5.00), plant height (42.26 cm) and length of longest leaf (39.86 cm) at 60 DAP, weight of corms/hill (24.03 g) and diameter of corms/hill (3.84 g).

Gladiolus is one of the most important bulbous ornamental plant grown worldwide for its fascinating and beautiful flowers which belongs to family Iridaceae and sub family Ixiodeae. As a commercial flower, gladiolus is gaining importance in India due to its longer vase life, sequential opening of flowers and variously coloured delicate florets. Commercially it is propagated by underground corm and cormel (Singh and Sisodia, 2017). Freshly harvested corms have a dormancy period of 2-4 months which also depends upon cultivar and the storage condition (Gonzales, 1996). Dormancy in corms act as a major hindrance for their immediate use in commercial cultivation and also results in increased cost of the corms. And also due to lack of information regarding various factors such as cultivation practices, size of propagating materials, use of growth regulators among farmers, the commercial cultivation is still at the initial stage. Bulbous plants are influenced by the size of bulbs/corms. As the size increases the assimilation of food also increases which resulted in accelerated growth, flowering and yield. According to various studies there is a direct relationship between the corm size and vegetative, flowering and corm yield of the plant (Bankar and Mukhopadhyay, 1980 and Sharma and Gupta, 2003). Exogenous application of growth regulators boosts the growth and yield in various plants (Singh and Karki, 2003, Kumar et al., 2012, Gurung et al., 2014, Nazir et al., 2017, Kapri et al., 2018 and Thapa et al., 2019). GA, is well known for its role in influencing various physiological processes such as seed germination, leaf expansion, stem elongation and flower

development. Thiourea is primitively known for its significant role in breaking the seed and bud dormancy (Chang and Sung, 2000). It can be successfully implicated to break both the innate and environmentally imposed seed dormancy and promotes seed germination (Aldasoro *et al.*, 1981). Thiourea application stimulated shoot and root growth and enhanced biomass in gladiolus cormels (Padhi *et al.*, 2018). Therefore, an experiment was carried out to find out the influence of growth regulators *i.e.* GA<sub>3</sub> and thiourea and corm size on growth and corm parameters in gladiolus.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was carried out at Horticulture Research Farm, Department of Horticulture, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh, India during the year 2018-19. Gladiolus corms of newly developed cultivar Malaviya Kundan from Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi were taken for this experiment to standardize corm size for planting and see its response on growth promoting chemicals. Dehusked corms of various grades (1.0 cm, 1.5 cm, 2.0 cm, 2.5 cm) were treated with different concentrations of thiourea (0.5%, 1.5%, 2.0%) and GA, (50 ppm, 100 ppm, 150 ppm) solution and also in distilled water (Control) for 24 hrs (Padhi et al., 2018). The soaked corms were then dried and planted in well levelled beds with a spacing of  $30 \times 20$ cm. Intercultural operations like weeding, earthing up and watering were done as and when necessary. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design with 3 replications

(Panse and Sukhatme, 1985). The observations were recorded at 30, 45 and 60 DAP with respect to growth parameters. The corm attributes were also recorded after digging of corms and cormels and analysis of variance was done as suggested by Panse and Sukhatme (1985).

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

# Effect of growth regulators and corm size on growth parameters

A significant effect was observed due to the exercise of growth regulators *i.e.* thiourea and  $GA_3$  at different concentrations on growth parameters in case of number of leaves per plant at 30, 45 and 60 DAP, plant height (cm) at 30, 45 and 60 DAP and length of the longest leaf (cm) at 30, 45 and 60 DAP (Table 1). Maximum number of leaves/plant at 30 DAP and 45 DAP was recorded with the treatment GA3 at 150 ppm (2.93 and 4.11) which was statistically at par with treatment of GA3 at 100

ppm (2.85 and 4.01) and GA3 at 50 ppm (2.81 and 3.92). While, minimum was in case of thiourea at 2.0% (1.31 and 2.19). Maximum number of leaves at 60 DAP was obtained in case of thiourea at 0.5% (5.16) while GA3 at 100 ppm (4.78) was at par with the results. Minimum was obtained in case of thiourea at 2.0% (3.17). Plant height (cm) at 30 DAP was recorded maximum in GA3 at 50 ppm (30.30 cm) which was statistically at par with GA3 at 100 ppm (29.88 cm) and GA3 at 150 ppm (29.76 cm). Among various treatments, maximum plant height at 45 DAP and 60 DAP was obtained with GA3 at 150 ppm (36.04 cm and 39.70 cm) which was statistically at par with GA3 at 100 ppm (35.12 cm and 38.45 cm) and GA3 at 50 ppm (35.63 cm and 38.35 cm). Maximum length of longest leaf (cm) at 30 DAP was obtained in GA3 at 50 ppm (25.75 cm) which was statistically at par with GA3 at 100 ppm (25.68 cm), thiourea at 0.5% (25.48 cm) and GA3 at 150 ppm (25.17 cm). Length of longest leaf (cm) at 45 DAP and 60 DAP was found to be maximum in GA3 at 150 ppm (31.37 cm and

Treatments	Number of leaves/plant		Plant height (cm)		Length of longest leaf (cm)				
	30 DAP	45 DAP	60 DAP	30 DAP	45 DAP	60 DAP	30 DAP	45 DAP	60 DAP
Control	2.2	3.38	4.74	26.98	33.11	37.44	23.56	30.53	36.36
Thiourea, 0.5%	2.36	3.23	5.16	28.92	33.28	37.5	25.48	29.97	32.77
Thiourea, 1.5%	1.68	2.61	3.61	20.07	28.45	34.76	17.53	27.5	29.7
Thiourea, 2.0%	1.31	2.19	3.17	14.61	24.04	31.18	12.53	22.03	26.52
GA., 50 ppm	2.81	3.92	4.74	30.3	35.63	38.35	25.75	30.76	33.63
GA, 100 ppm	2.85	4.01	4.78	29.88	35.12	38.45	25.68	30.93	35.06
GA, 150 ppm	2.93	4.11	4.76	29.76	36.04	39.7	25.17	31.37	37.35
CD at 5%	0.25	0.25	0.38	1.8	1.81	1.63	1.94	1.37	1.3
Corm size 1.0 cm	1.83	2.74	3.82	22.34	28.15	32.21	20.62	26.4	29.7
Corm size 1.5 cm	2.31	3.32	4.33	25.03	31.58	35.88	21.47	29.2	32.8
Corm size 2.0 cm	2.52	3.61	4.91	27.82	34.76	39.83	24.02	30.43	35.11
Corm size 2.5 cm	2.56	3.74	4.63	27.97	34.47	39.15	22.86	30.03	34.62
CD at 5%	0.19	0.19	0.29	1.36	1.37	1.23	1.47	1.04	0.98
Control × 1.0 cm	1.33	2.67	3.67	16.77	24.17	30.23	15.57	21.27	28.65
Control × 1.5 cm	2.14	3.53	5.36	28.09	34.76	39.62	24.16	32.19	38.97
Control × 2.0 cm	2.72	3.72	5.39	31.1	36.19	40.4	27.64	33.78	38.37
Control × 2.5 cm	2.61	3.61	4.56	31.94	37.32	39.5	26.86	34.89	39.47
Thiourea $0.5\% \times 1.0$ cm	2	2.5	4.78	27.22	29.72	30.23	26.53	28.63	30.68
Thiourea $0.5\% \times 1.5$ cm	2.17	3.17	5.33	26.23	30.43	39.62	23.01	28.42	30.66
Thiourea $0.5\% \times 2.0$ cm	2.47	3.64	5.19	30.29	36.08	40.4	26.86	31.1	34.63
Thiourea $0.5\% \times 2.5$ cm	2.78	3.61	5.33	31.92	36.89	39.5	25.52	31.71	35.13
Thiourea $1.5\% \times 1.0$ cm	1.33	2	3.43	17.57	26.27	31.07	15.27	26.27	28.2
Thiourea $1.5\% \times 1.5$ cm	1.83	2.67	3	20.43	28.78	34.82	17.38	28.78	30.3
Thiourea $1.5\% \times 2.0$ cm	1.89	3	4.11	22.49	30.28	37.5	20.41	28.57	30.68
Thiourea 1.5% $\times$ 2.5 cm	1.67	2.78	3.89	19.79	28.47	35.64	17.04	26.37	29.63
Thiourea 2.0% $\times$ 1.0 cm	1	2	2.67	14.93	24.13	30.4	12.77	23.67	25.67
Thiourea 2.0% $\times$ 1.5 cm	1	2	2.67	10.4	22.17	27.33	8.3	20.23	24.4
Thiourea 2.0% $\times$ 2.0 cm	1.56	2.5	3.89	16.84	25.17	35.15	15.41	22.67	29.33
Thiourea 2.0% $\times$ 2.5 cm	1.69	2.28	3.44	16.28	24.68	31.84	13.65	21.54	26.69
$GA_3$ 50 ppm $\times$ 1.0 cm	2	3	3.5	26.78	30.1	33.08	24.98	27.43	30.42
$GA_3$ 50 ppm $\times$ 1.5 cm	3	4	4.81	30.2	35.62	38.17	25.82	30.64	32.9
$GA_3$ 50 ppm $\times$ 2.0 cm	3	4	5.19	31.47	37.97	39.58	26.51	32.05	35.14
$GA_3$ 50 ppm $\times$ 2.5 cm	3.22	4.67	5.44	32.75	38.83	42.56	25.68	32.92	36.07
$GA_{3}$ 100 ppm × 1.0 cm	2.5	3.67	4.33	26.75	30.28	33.18	24.5	26.85	30.42
$GA_{3}$ 100 ppm × 1.5 cm	3	3.94	4.55	30.57	35.6	40.06	26.53	33.72	36.53
$GA_3$ 100 ppm $\times$ 2.0 cm	3	4	5.5	31.52	38.51	41.04	26.27	32.72	37.78
$GA_3$ 100 ppm $\times$ 2.5 cm	2.92	4.45	4.75	30.69	36.09	39.49	25.42	30.43	35.5
$GA_{3}$ 150 ppm × 1.0 cm	2.67	3.33	4.33	26.33	32.37	35.73	24.7	30.7	33.87
$GA_3$ 150 ppm × 1.5 cm	3	3.94	4.61	29.28	33.66	37.41	25.1	30.37	35.83
$GA_3^1$ 150 ppm × 2.0 cm	3	4.39	5.11	31.01	39.14	43.39	25.04	32.09	39.85
$GA_3$ 150 ppm $\times$ 2.5 cm	3.06	4.78	5	32.41	38.99	42.26	25.84	32.33	39.86
CD at 5%	NS	NS	NS	3.6	3.62	3.26	3.89	2.75	2.61

Treatments	Number of	Weight of	Number of	Weight of	Diamotor of	
riculinents	cormels/hill	cormels/hill(g)	corms/hill	corms/hill(g)	corm (cm)	
Control	32.94	5 76	1.07	14.6	3 19	
Thiourea 0.5%	39.15	5.78	1.0,	15.45	3.25	
Thiourea, 1.5%	42.98	5.9	1.03	13.15	3.15	
Thiourea, 2.0%	26.6	4.08	1	9.35	2.81	
GA., 50 ppm	33.16	5.46	1	17.63	3.37	
GA., 100 ppm	28.17	4.65	1.02	16.39	3.31	
GA., 150 ppm	24.3	4.18	1	17.6	3.43	
CD at 5%	7.86	NS	NS	2.67	0.25	
Corm size 1.0 cm	29.18	4.32	1	9.65	2.81	
Corm size 1.5 cm	32.42	4.84	1	13.89	3.16	
Corm size 2.0 cm	37.31	5.75	1	17.25	3.38	
Corm size 2.5 cm	32.46	5.55	1.07	18.74	3.5	
CD at 5%	NS	NS	0.04	2.02	0.19	
Control $\times$ 1.0 cm	27.67	4.39	1	8.73	2.61	
Control $\times$ 1.5 cm	31.33	4.49	1	11.92	2.99	
Control $\times$ 2.0 cm	35.25	7.12	1	18.9	3.57	
Control $\times$ 2.5 cm	37.5	7.03	1.28	18.86	3.58	
Thiourea 0.5% × 1.0 cm	28.33	4.08	1	9.43	2.72	
Thiourea 0.5% × 1.5 cm	35	4.35	1	11.63	2.97	
Thiourea 0.5% × 2.0 cm	51.06	7.79	1	18.78	3.52	
Thiourea 0.5% × 2.5 cm	42.22	6.9	1	21.94	3.77	
Thiourea 1.5% × 1.0 cm	52.75	6.63	1	10.27	3.11	
Thiourea 1.5% × 1.5 cm	52.83	8.32	1	16.88	3.44	
Thiourea 1.5% × 2.0 cm	32.55	4.39	1	13.04	3.04	
Thiourea 1.5% × 2.5 cm	33.78	4.24	1.11	12.41	3	
Thiourea 2.0% × 1.0 cm	30.67	6.65	1	9.57	2.83	
Thiourea 2.0% × 1.5 cm	25.33	2.54	1	6.57	2.57	
Thiourea 2.0% $\times$ 2.0 cm	27.17	3.89	1	12.53	3.13	
Thiourea 2.0% × 2.5 cm	23.25	3.24	1	8.71	2.69	
$GA_3$ 50 ppm $\times$ 1.0 cm	27.5	3.7	1	11.1	2.85	
$GA_{3}$ 50 ppm $\times$ 1.5 cm	27.56	4.94	1	18.37	3.53	
GA, 50 ppm × 2.0 cm	40.03	6.25	1	19.27	3.29	
$GA_3 50 \text{ ppm} \times 2.5 \text{ cm}$	37.56	6.94	1	21.78	3.79	
$GA_{3}$ 100 ppm $\times$ 1.0 cm	20.67	2.78	1	9.54	2.79	
$GA_{3}$ 100 ppm $\times$ 1.5 cm	26.11	4.45	1	16.62	3.32	
$GA_{3}$ 100 ppm $\times$ 2.0 cm	33.14	4.99	1	15.97	3.33	
$GA_3$ 100 ppm $\times$ 2.5 cm	32.78	6.4	1.08	23.44	3.79	
$GA_3$ 150 ppm × 1.0 cm	16.67	2.02	1	8.93	2.76	
$GA_3$ 150 ppm × 1.5 cm	28.78	4.77	1	15.21	3.3	
$GA_3$ 150 ppm $\times$ 2.0 cm	31.64	5.85	1	22.24	3.8	
$GA_3$ 150 ppm $\times$ 2.5 cm	20.11	4.08	1	24.03	3.84	
CD at 5%	NS	1.07	NS	5.33	0.51	

Table 2: Effect of growth regulators and corm size on corm parameters in gladiolus.

37.35 cm) while minimum was in the case of thiourea at 2.0% (22.03 cm and 26.52 cm). Present findings are corroborated with the observation made by Padhi *et al.* (2018), who noticed  $GA_3$  and thiourea increased leaf length in gladiolus cormels in comparison to control. This result also in line with the findings of Bhalla and Kumar (2008), Sudhakar and Kumar (2012), Padmalatha *et al.* (2014), Singh *et al.* (2018b) and Parween *et al.* (2019). It may be due to the physiological action of plant growth regulators that resulted in increased number and size of the meristematic tissue. Increased growth is attributed by application of  $GA_3$  which increases the number of leaves that in turn accelerates photosynthesis hence increased photosynthetic assimilates. These assimilates are transported to the resulting daughter corms, thereby, increasing the plant height, number of leaves etc. (Singh *et al.*, 2018a).

Various grades showed significant results in case of growth parameters. Maximum number of leaves/plant at 30, 45 and 60 DAP was resulted in grade 2.5 cm (2.56, 3.74 and 4.63)

which was statistically at par with grade 2.0 cm (2.52, 3.61 and 4.91). Plant height (cm) at 30 DAP was found to be maximum in grade 2.5 cm (27.97 cm) while grade 2.0 cm (27.82 cm) was statistically at par with the results. Maximum plant height (cm) at 45 and 60 DAP was recorded with grade 2.0 cm (34.76 cm and 39.83 cm) which was statistically at par with grade 2.5 cm (34.47 cm and 39.15 cm). Regarding the parameter length of longest leaf (cm) at 30 DAP, 45 DAP and 60 DAP, maximum leaf length was obtained with grade 2.0 cm (24.02 cm, 30.43 cm and 35.11 cm) which was statistically at par with grade 2.5 cm (22.86 cm, 30.03 cm and 34.62 cm). It might be due to more food assimilates in large size corms resulting in accelerated growth. These results are in close agreement with the findings of Bankar and Mukhopadhyay (1980), Singh (2000), Singh and Karki (2003), Sharma and Gupta (2003), Bhat et al. (2009), Kareem et al. (2013) and Methela et al. (2019).

Interaction effect

The interaction between plant growth regulators and corm size was found to be significant in plant height (cm) at 30 DAP, 45 DAP and 60 DAP and length of longest leaf (cm) at 30 DAP, 45 DAP and 60 DAP and non-significant in number of leaves/plant at 30 DAP, 45 DAP and 60 DAP. Significantly maximum number of leaves/plant at 30 DAP (3.22), 60 DAP (5.44) was registered with GA, 50 ppm  $\times$  2.5 cm while minimum was observed with thiourea  $2.0\% \times 1.0$  cm (1.00 and 2.67) and thiourea 2.0% ×1.5 cm (1.00 and 2.67). Maximum number of leaves at 45 DAP was recorded with GA, 150 ppm  $\times$  2.5 cm (4.78) while minimum was in thiourea  $2.0\% \times 1.0$  cm (2.00) and thiourea  $2.0\% \times 1.5$  cm (2.00). Maximum plant height (cm) was recorded with GA, 50 ppm  $\times$  2.5 cm (32.75 cm) and minimum was in thiourea 2.0%  $\times 1.5$  cm (10.40 cm). Plant height (cm) at 45 DAP and 60 DAP was found to be maximum in GA, 150 ppm  $\times$  2.0 cm (39.14 cm and 43.39 cm) while minimum was recorded with thiourea  $2.0\% \times 1.5$  cm (22.17 cm and 27.33 cm). Maximum length of the longest leaf (cm) at 30 DAP was recorded in control  $\times$ 2.0 cm (27.64 cm) while maximum leaf length (cm) at 45 DAP and 60 DAP was obtained in control  $\times$  2.5 cm (34.89 cm) and GA<sub>3</sub> 150 ppm  $\times$  2.5 cm (39.86 cm) respectively. Whereas, minimum length of longest leaf (cm) at 30 DAP, 45 DAP and 60 DAP was recorded in thiourea 2.0%  $\times$  1.5 cm (8.30 cm, 20.23 cm and 24.40 cm), respectively. It may be due to increased biomass production due to application of plant growth regulators. On the other hand, increased sink capacity in large sized corms resulted in increased number and size of corm and cormels. These results are in line with the findings of Dogra et al. (2012), Sarkar et al. (2014) and Singh et al. (2019).

# Effect of plant growth regulators and corm size on corm parameters

There was a significant difference in number of cormels/hill, weight of corms/hill (g) and diameter of corm (cm) due to the use of different growth regulators and corm size (Table 2). However, these growth regulators and different grades of corm failed to exert any significant effect on weight of cormels/hill (g) and number of corms/hill. Maximum number of cormels/ hill was recorded with thiourea at 1.5% (42.98) which was statistically at par with thiourea at 0.5% (39.15). Weight of cormels/hill (g) was recorded maximum in thiourea at 1.5% (5.90 g) while, minimum was recorded with thiourea at 2.0% (4.08 g). Regarding the parameter number of corms/hill, maximum was obtained with control (1.07) which was higher than all other treatments. Among various treatments, GA3 at 150 ppm resulted in maximum weight of corms/hill (17.60 g) and diameter of corm (3.43 cm) which was statistically at par with GA3 at 50 ppm (17.63 g and 3.37 cm) and GA, at 100 ppm (16.39 g and 3.31 cm) and minimum was obtained with thiourea at 2.0% (9.35 g and 2.81 cm). It may be due to cell division and increased level of carbohydrates due to application of plant growth regulators. Availability of longer growing period as the days for sprouting was reduced significantly also provides congenial environment conditions for corm production. These results are in accordance with findings of Bhalla and Kumar (2008), Baskaran et al. (2009), Sudhakar and Kumar (2012), Padmalatha et al. (2014), Naik and Kuchi (2019) and Thapa et al. (2019).

Among different grades of gladiolus, maximum weight of corms/hill (18.74 g) and diameter of corm (3.50 cm) was obtained with grade 2.5 cm which was statistically at par with grade 2.0 cm (17.25 g and 3.38 cm) and minimum was obtained with grade 1.0 cm (9.65 g and 2.81 cm). Maximum number of corms/hill was recorded with grade 2.5 cm (1.07) which was statistically higher than all other grades. Maximum number of cormels/hill and weight of cormels/hill (g) was obtained in grade 2.0 cm (37.31 and 5.75 g) while, minimum was recorded in grade 1.0 cm (29.18 and 4.32 g). It may be due to the increased sink capacity in large sized corms which resulted in increased number and size of corm and cormels. Similar results were reported by Bankar and Mukhopadhyay (1980), Singh (2000), Sharma and Gupta (2003), Bhat et al. (2009) and Kareem et al. (2013).

#### Interaction effect

The interaction between plant growth regulators and corm size was found to be significant in weight of cormels/hill (g), weight of corms/hill (g) and diameter of corm (cm) while nonsignificant in number of cormels/hill and number of corms/ hill (Table 2). Significantly maximum number of cormels/hill was found in thiourea 1.5%  $\times$  1.5 cm (52.83) while minimum was in GA3 150 ppm  $\times$  1.0 cm (16.67). Regarding weight of cormels/hill (g), maximum was obtained in thiourea 1.5%  $\times$ 1.5 cm (8.32 g) which was statistically at par with thiourea  $0.5\% \times 2.0$  cm (7.79 g) and minimum was in GA3, 150 ppm × 1.0 cm (2.02 g). Maximum number of corms/hill was obtained in control  $\times$  2.5 cm (1.28) which was statistically higher than other treatment combinations. Maximum weight of corms/hill (g) was obtained in GA3 150 ppm  $\times$  2.5 cm (24.03 g) which was statistically at par with GA3 100 ppm imes2.5 cm (23.44 g), GA3 150 ppm  $\times$  2.0 cm (22.24 g), thiourea  $0.5\% \times 2.5$  cm (21.94 g), GA3 50 ppm  $\times 2.5$  cm (21.78 g), control  $\times$  2.0 cm (18.90 g), control  $\times$  2.5 cm (18.86 g), thiourea  $0.5\% \times 2.0$  cm (18.78 g) and minimum was found in GA3 100 ppm  $\times$  1.0 cm (9.54 g). Diameter of corm (cm) was found to be maximum in GA3 150 ppm  $\times$  2.5 cm (3.84 cm) which was statistically at par with GA3 150 ppm  $\times$  2.0 cm (3.80 cm), GA3 100 ppm × 2.5 cm (3.79 cm), GA3 50 ppm × 2.5 cm (3.79 cm), thiourea  $0.5\% \times 2.5$  cm (3.77 cm), control × 2.5 cm (3.58 cm), control × 2.0 cm (3.57 cm), GA3 50 ppm  $\times$  1.5 cm (3.53 cm), thiourea 0.5%  $\times$  2.0 cm (3.52 cm) and minimum was in thiourea 2.0%  $\times$  1.5 cm (2.57 cm). It might be due to more dry matter accumulation and mobilization in the propagules resulting in increased number and weight of the corms and cormels. GA3 and thiourea treatment promotes extensive growth of intact plants and thereby promoting the corm characteristics. These results are in accordance with the findings of Dogra et al. (2012), Sarkar et al. (2014), Slathia et al. (2015) and Methela et al. (2019).

#### REFERENCES

Aldasoro, J.J., Matilla, A. and Nicolas, G. 1981. Effect of ABA, fusicoccin and thiourea on germination and K+ and glucose uptake in chick pea seeds at different temperatures. *Physiologia Plantarum*. 53(2): 139-145.

Bankar, J.G. and Mukhopadhyay, A. 1980. Effects of corm size, depth of planting and spacing on the production of flowers and corms in

gladiolus. Indian J. Horticulture. 37(4): 403-408.

Baskaran, V., Misra, R.L. and Abirami, K. 2009. Effect of plant growth regulators on corm production in gladiolus. *J. Horticultural Sciences.* **4(1):** 78-80.

**Bhalla, R. and Kumar, A. 2008.** Response of plant bio-regulators on dormancy breaking in gladiolus. *J. Ornamental Horticulture.* **11(1):** 1-8.

**Bhat, Z.A., Paul, T.M. and Mir, M.M. 2009.** Effect of corm size and planting geometry on growth, flowering and corm production in gladiolus cv. White Prosperity. *J. Ornamental Horticulture.* **12(1):** 35-38.

**Chang, Y.S. and Sung, F.H. 2000.** Effects of gibberellic acid and dormancy breaking chemicals on flower development of *Rhododendron pulchrum* Sweet and *Rhododendron scabrum* Don. *Scientia Horticulturae.* **83(3-4):** 331-337.

Dilta, B.S., Badiyala, S.D., Sharma, Y.D. and Verma, V.K. 2004. Effect of corm size on performance of different gladiolus cultivars. *J. Ornamental Horticulture*. **7(2)**: 153-158.

Dogra, S., Pandey, R.K. and Bhat, D.J. 2012. Influence of gibberellic acid and plant geometry on growth, flowering and corm production in gladiolus (*Gladiolus grandiflorus*) under Jammu agroclimate. *International J. Pharma and Bio Sciences*. 3(4): 1083-1090.

**Gonzales, R. 1996.** Techniques in breaking dormancy in gladiolus corms. Regional Research and Development Symposia. Philippines Council for Agriculture, Forestry and Natural Resources Research and Development, Los Banos, Laguna, Philippines. pp. 72-73.

**Gurung, N., Swamy, G.S.K., Sarkar, S.K. and Ubale, N.B. 2014.** Effect of chemicals and growth regulators on germination, vigour and growth of passion fruit (*Passiflora edulis* Sims.). *The Bioscan.* **9(1):** 155-157.

**Kapri, M., Singh, A.K., Sisodia, A. and Padhi, M. 2018.** Influence of GA<sub>3</sub> and BA (Benzyladenine) on flowering and post-harvest parameters in lily. *J. Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry.* **7(3):** 1916-1918.

Kareem, A., Khan, M.A., Rehman, S.U.R. and Afzal, I. 2013. Different corm sizes affect performance of *Gladiolus grandiflorus* cv. Red Majesty and Early Yellow. *Advances in Zoology and Botany*. 1(4): 86-91.

Kumar, M.S., Ponnuswami, V., Jawaharlal, M. and Kumar, R.A. 2012. Effect of plant growth regulators on growth, yield and exportable quality of cut roses. *The Bioscan.* 7(4): 733-738.

Methela, N.J., Al Zihad, M.R., Islam, M.S. and Rahman, M.H. 2019. Effect of spacing and corm size on growth and spike production of gladiolus. *Asian J. Medical and Biological Research*. 5(3): 226-230.

Naik, M.R. and Kuchi, V.S. 2019. Planting time and chemicals response on flowering and corm production of gladiolus (*Gladiolus grandiflorus* L.) cv. Urmi. *Life sciences leaflets*. **117:** 1-9.

Nazir, N., Sharma, M.K., Khalil, A. and Bhat, R. 2017. Influence of exogenous application of plant growth regulators on growth, fruit numbers and leaf and fruit nutrient status of kiwifruit cv. Hayward. *The Bioscan.* **12(3):** 1645-1647.

**Padhi, M., Sisodia, A., Pal, S., Kapri, M. and Singh, A.K. 2018.** Growing media, GA<sub>3</sub> and thiourea stimulates growth and rooting in gladiolus cormels cv. Tiger Flame. *J. Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry.* **7(3):** 1919-1922. Padmalatha, T., Reddy, G.S., Chandrasekhar, R., Shankar, A.S. and Chaturvedi, A. 2014. Effect of pre-planting treatment of corms with chemicals and plant growth regulators on vegetative growth, flowering and post-harvest life in gladiolus. *Indian J. Agricultural Research.* **48(4):** 301-306.

Padmalatha, T., Reddy, G.S., Chandrasekhar, R., Shankar, A.S. and Chaturvedi, A. 2013. Effect of pre-planting soaking of corms with chemicals and plant growth regulators on dormancy breaking and corm and cormel production in gladiolus. *International J. Plant, Animal and Environmental Sciences.* **3(1)**: 28-33.

**Panse, V.G. and Sukhatme, P.V. 1985.** Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers. Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi. p. 158.

**Parween, N., Mishra, S., Adil, A., Pal, A. and Jha, K.K. 2019.** Effect of GA<sub>3</sub> on reproductive growth and cormel production of gladiolus. *International J. Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences.* **8(6):** 1739-1750.

Sarkar, M.A.H., Hossain, M.I., Uddin, A.F.M.J., Uddin, M.A.N. and Sarkar, M.D. 2014. Vegetative, floral and yield attributes of gladiolus in response to gibberellic acid and corm size. *Scientia Agriculturae*. 7(3): 142-146.

Sharma, J.R. and Gupta, R.B. 2003. Effect of corm size and spacing on growth, flowering and corm production in gladiolus. *J. ornamental Horticulture*. **6(4):** 352-356.

**Singh, A.K. and Karki, K. 2003.** Effect of grading and GA<sub>3</sub> on germination and seedling growth attributes in balsam. *Progressive Horticulture*. **35(2):** 158-160.

Singh, A.K. and Sisodia, A. 2017. Textbook of Floriculture and Landscaping. New India Publishing Agency, New Delhi. p. 352.

**Singh, A.K., Kapri, M., Sisodia, A. and Padhi, M. 2018b.** Effect of GA<sub>3</sub> and BA (Benzyladenine) on growth and bulb production in lily (*Lilium longiflorum*). International J. Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. **7(6):** 1236-1240.

**Singh, G., Kumar, A., Kant, L., Ritik and Kumar, R.A. 2019.** Effect of GA<sub>3</sub> and urea on growth, and yield of gladiolus (*Gladiolus grandiflorus* L.) cv. Novalux. *International J. Chemical Studies*. **7(5):** 3371-3373.

Singh, K.P. 2000. Growth, flowering and corm production in gladiolus as affected by different corm sizes. J. Ornamental Horticulture. 3(1): 26-29.

Singh, R., Sisodia, A., Singh, A.K. and Pal, A.K. 2018a. Effect of pinching, gibberellic acid and kinetin on growth, flowering and seed yield in marigold. *J. Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*. **7(3):** 3318-3320.

Slathia, D., Pandey, R.K., Laishram, N. and Singh, A. 2015. Effect of bulb size and growth regulators on flower and bulb production in chincherinchee (*Ornithogalum thyrsoides*). J. Ornamental Horticulture. **18(3-4):** 103-111.

Sudhakar, M. and Kumar, S.R. 2012. Effect of growth regulators on growth, flowering and corm production of gladiolus (*Gladiolus grandiflorus* L.) cv. White Friendship. *Indian J. Plant Science*. 1(2): 133-136.

Thapa, D.B., Padhi, M., Sisodia, A., Barman, K. and Singh, A.K. **2019.** Effect of  $GA_3$  and fungicides on vegetative and corm attributes of single budded cut corms in gladiolus. *International J. Chemical Studies*. **7(5):** 491-494.